


(2)

OTIC

0

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

a. <b>AD-A241 342</b> 		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS													
b.		3. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT approved for public release; distribution unlimited													
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) Report No. 4		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)													
5a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION The Pennsylvania State Univ.	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research													
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Department of Chemistry, 152 Davey Lab. University Park, Pennsylvania 16802		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217													
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION ONR	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER													
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS <table border="1"><tr><td>PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. N00014-91</td><td>PROJECT NO. J-1194</td><td>TASK NO. 4132007-06</td><td>WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.</td></tr></table>		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. N00014-91	PROJECT NO. J-1194	TASK NO. 4132007-06	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.								
PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. N00014-91	PROJECT NO. J-1194	TASK NO. 4132007-06	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.												
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Characterization and Conductivities of Polyphosphazene-Iodine Complexes															
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) M. M. Lerner, A. L. Tipton and D. E. Shriver* (at Northwestern), A. A. Dembek and H. R. Allcock*															
13a. TYPE OF REPORT Reprint	13b. TIME COVERED FROM TO	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)	15. PAGE COUNT												
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Prepared for publication in CHEMISTRY OF MATERIALS															
17. COSATI CODES <table border="1"><tr><td>FIELD</td><td>GROUP</td><td>SUB-GROUP</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>		FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP										18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Polymers, phosphazenes, solid ionic conductivity, electroactive materials, batteries, MEEP	
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP													
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Complexes of I <sub>2</sub> have been prepared with molecular and polymeric phosphazene/I <sub>2</sub> ratios ranging from 8 to 0.2. For brevity, the phosphazenes are identified as follows: [NPCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> ; [NP(OPr) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> (=NP(OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> , [NP(OCH <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> (=NP(OTFE) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> , and [NP(OCH <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> OC <sub>2</sub> -H <sub>4</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> (=MEEP). Resonance Raman spectra indicate that polyiodides are formed for all but the [NPCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> -iodine system. Impedance measurements demonstrate high bulk conductivities near ambient temperature for the MEEP and [NP(OPr) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub> -based systems. For all systems, the conductivity increases with increasing iodine loading.															

91-12765



91 10 8 014

20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT. <input type="checkbox"/> OTIC USERS		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. Kenneth J. Wynn		22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 703-696-4315	22c. OFFICE SYMBOL

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-91-J-1194  
R&T Code 4132007-06

Technical Report No. 4

CHARACTERIZATION AND CONDUCTIVITIES OF POLYPHOSPHAZENE-IODINE COMPLEXES

by

Michael M. Lerner, Andrew L. Tipton, and Duward F. Shriver\* (Northwestern)

and

Alexa A. Dembek and Harry R. Allcock\*

Department of Chemistry  
The Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Prepared for Publication in CHEMISTRY OF MATERIALS

October 2, 1991

Reproduction in whole, or in part, is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

Accession For  
DTIC  
DTIC TAB  
Unannounced  
Justification

DTIC

A-1

## Characterization and Conductivities of Polyphosphazene-Iodine Complexes

Michael M. Lerner, Andrew L. Tipton, and Duward F. Shriver,\*

*Department of Chemistry and Materials Research Center, Northwestern University, 2145  
Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60208-3113.*

Alexa A. Dembek and Harry R. Allcock\*

*Department of Chemistry, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802.*

### Abstract

Complexes of phosphazenes with  $I_2$  have been prepared with molecular and polymeric phosphazene/ $I_2$  ratios ranging from 8 to 0.2. For brevity the phosphazenes are identified as follows:  $[NCl_2]_n$ ;  $[NP(OPr)_2]_n$  ( $=[NP(OCH_2CH_2CH_3)_2]_n$ ),  $[NP(OCH_2CF_3)_2]_n$  ( $=NP(OTFE)_2]_n$  and  $[NP(OC_2H_4OC_2H_4OCH_3)_2]_n$  ( $=MEEP$ ). Resonance Raman spectra indicate that polyiodides are formed for all but the  $[NCl_2]_n$ -iodine system. Impedance measurements demonstrate high bulk conductivities near ambient temperature for the MEEP and  $[NP(OPr)_2]_n$ -based systems. For all systems, the conductivity increases with increasing iodine loading.

## Introduction

The preparation of ionically conducting solids is of broad fundamental interest. These materials have applications in solid-state electrochemical devices.<sup>1,2</sup> Polymer-based complexes possess several advantages over semi-crystalline solids, and these advantages include ease of chemical modification and processability. Recent work has shown that high bulk conductivities at ambient temperature can be obtained for etheric polymer complexes that contain polyiodides.<sup>3,4</sup>

Iodine forms charge-transfer complexes with a wide variety of polymers, or it may disproportionate to form polyiodides.<sup>5</sup> Also, conjugated polymers such as polyacetylene become electronically conducting following reaction with many oxidizing agents, including  $I_2$ .<sup>6</sup> However, a number of monomers and non-conjugated polymers also form stable, highly conductive complexes with iodine. Examples include poly(vinylpyridine)<sup>7</sup> and poly(alkylsulfides).<sup>8</sup> The mode of conduction in iodine-doped poly(isoprene) has also been examined by several groups.<sup>9-11</sup>

The poly(organophosphazene)  $[NP(OCH_2CH_2OCH_2CH_2OCH_3)_2]_n$  (MEEP) was first synthesized and studied in our laboratories as a solid solvent for Li or Ag triflate salts. The solid solutions generated ionic conductivities that were several orders of magnitude higher than those of poly(ethylene oxide).<sup>12-16</sup>

Subsequent investigation of the conductivities of  $[NP(OCH_2CH_2OCH_2CH_2OCH_3)_2]_nMI_x$  (MEEP<sub>n</sub>MI<sub>x</sub>; M = Na, Li) complexes has led to the discovery of a number of stable MEEP-iodine complexes, MEEP<sub>x</sub>I, which are free of alkali metal salt.<sup>3</sup> At iodine loadings of  $NP/I < 4$ , the MEEP<sub>n</sub>I complexes exhibit conductivities near  $10^{-3} \text{ Scm}^{-1}$  at ambient temperature. Polyiodides are clearly present in these

complexes; the formation of  $I_x^-$  from  $I_2$  must therefore be balanced by a compensating oxidation reaction. The nature of this oxidation half-reaction is uncertain, but it seems probable that iodonium cations are formed by  $I^+$  coordination to the oligoether side-chains of MEEP.

The primary aim of the present research was to survey the interaction of  $I_2$  with a series of polyphosphazenes and thereby explore the chemical generality of  $I_2$  disproportionation in molecular and polymeric phosphazene systems.

### Experimental Section

The polyphosphazene polymers  $[NP(OPr)_2]_n$ ,  $[NP(OTFE)_2]_n$ , and MEEP were prepared by established methods,<sup>17-19</sup> and were purified by successive reprecipitations or dialysis, as appropriate. Residual water was removed by long-term evacuation. Weighed quantities of  $I_2$  (Aldrich chemicals, reagent grade, resublimed prior to use) were allowed to diffuse as the vapor into weighed samples of the solid polyphosphazenes in air-tight glass cells at 60°C. The reactions were allowed to proceed for several days until neither solid nor gaseous  $I_2$  remained and the complexes were homogeneous in appearance.

Resonance Raman spectra were collected at ambient temperature between 80 and 250  $cm^{-1}$  on a SPEX 1401 monochromator using a 180° backscattering geometry and a  $Kr^+$  (647 nm) excitation line. The solid samples were loaded under an inert atmosphere into 5-mm Pyrex tubes which were spun during data collection to minimize local heating. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were performed as described previously.<sup>20</sup> Samples were loaded under an inert atmosphere into hermetically-sealed aluminum pans. The results were consistent throughout several quenching and heating cycles indicating that loss of iodine from the sample was not a problem. NMR spectra

( $^{31}\text{P}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$ ) were recorded on a JEOL FX spectrometer (FT, 270 MHz) or a Varian spectrometer (FT, 400 MHz). Spectra were recorded in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  or acetone- $\text{d}_6$  and referenced to the solvent. Chemical shifts ( $\delta/\text{ppm}$ ) are referenced to external 85%  $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4$  for  $^{31}\text{P}$  NMR; upfield chemical shifts are negative.

AC impedance data were acquired from 10°C to 70°C over a frequency range of 10 MHz to 5 MHz using a Hewlett-Packard 4192 LF impedance analyzer for high-frequency and a Solartron Instruments 150 FRA for low-frequency measurements, both under computer control. The sample disks (ca. 1 mm) were pressed between tantalum (blocking) electrodes each 1/2 in. in diameter within an air-tight cell.

## Results.

The products obtained by the interaction of polyphosphazenes with iodine are described in Table 1. In almost all cases, no residual solid or gaseous  $\text{I}_2$  remained and the resulting complex was homogenous in appearance. However, as indicated in Table 1, high concentrations of iodine in  $[\text{NP}(\text{Cl}_2)]_n$  resulted in systems in which unreacted solid  $\text{I}_2$  remained after several days. The products obtained for all stoichiometries prepared with  $\text{MEEP}_x\text{I}$  and  $[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_x\text{I}$  were brown-black. The mobility of the polymers decreased with increasing iodine content. The  $[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_x\text{I}$  complexes were brown and fibrous with morphologies similar to that of the pristine polymer. The addition of iodine to  $[\text{NP}(\text{Cl}_2)]_n$  resulted in the formation of deep purple, rubbery complexes. The  $[\text{NP}(\text{Cl}_2)]_x\text{I}$  complexes evolved  $\text{I}_2$  rapidly when evacuated. When exposed to an inert atmosphere they lost coloration slowly until only a faint purple or pink hue remained. All other complexes were stable under an inert atmosphere.

Resonance Raman spectra of  $[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_x\text{I}$  and  $\text{MEEP}_x\text{I}$  show characteristic

features of polyiodide anions. Peaks in the  $109\text{--}113\text{ cm}^{-1}$  range are assigned to the symmetric stretching mode of an  $\text{I}_3^-$  species, while peaks near  $170\text{ cm}^{-1}$  are assigned to higher polyiodides. The shoulder or small peak at ca.  $145\text{ cm}^{-1}$  in every spectrum is assigned to the asymmetric stretching mode of an  $\text{I}_3^-$  species. All of these bands were absent in the spectrum of  $[\text{NP}(\text{Cl}_2)]_x$  iodine mixtures because  $\text{I}_2$  volatilized from the polymer and recrystallized in the sample tube. Some iodine loss was also observed for the  $\text{I}_2$  doped samples of  $[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_4$  and  $[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_2$  samples. For these complexes, however, the polyiodide bands near  $110$  and  $170\text{ cm}^{-1}$  were recorded along with a sharp band at  $216\text{ cm}^{-1}$  ascribed to  $\text{I}_2$  vapor.<sup>21</sup>

DSC traces (Table 3) obtained for both  $[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_x\text{I}$  and  $\text{MEEP}_x\text{I}$  complexes reveal an increase in glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) and a broadening of the transition as the iodine content increased. A strong endotherm was detected for  $[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_n$  at  $310\text{K}$ , which corresponds to the melting of a crystalline phase, and this endotherm shifted to higher temperature with increasing iodine content. All the  $[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_x\text{I}$  complexes were, therefore, crystalline at ambient temperature. The DSC results for " $[\text{NP}(\text{Cl}_2)]_5\text{I}$ " do not differ significantly from those for  $[\text{NP}(\text{Cl}_2)]_n$ .

AC impedance data obtained for polyphosphazene-iodine complexes typically display two semi-circles in a Cole-Cole plot indicating two processes with widely separated R-C response. Similar results were obtained previously in studies of both MEEP-polyiodide<sup>3</sup> and PPO-polyiodide<sup>4</sup> complexes. The application of both ac and dc methods and observations on samples of different thickness indicated that the high frequency arc corresponds to a bulk conduction process, while the arc at low frequencies corresponds to an interfacial process. Thus the bulk conductivity of the polyphosphazene-iodine complexes was obtained from the resistance associated with the high-frequency process

and the known cell geometric factor. Arrhenius-type plots are presented (Fig. 2) as well as bulk conductivities at 30°C for all the complexes prepared (Fig. 3).

$^{31}\text{P}$  NMR spectra for  $[\text{N}(\text{PCl}_2)_2]_n$ ,  $[\text{N}(\text{PCl}_2)_5]\text{I}$ ,  $[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_n$ ,  $[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_2\text{I}$ ,  $[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_n$ ,  $[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_2\text{I}$ , MEEP, and  $\text{MEEP}_2\text{I}$  show no significant chemical shift differences between the parent polymers before and after the addition of iodine, and this indicates no covalent bond formation or cleavage of the polyphosphazenes. Similarly, the  $^{13}\text{C}$  spectra for  $[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_n$ ,  $[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_2\text{I}$ ,  $[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_n$ ,  $[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_2\text{I}$ , MEEP and  $\text{MEEP}_2\text{I}$  show no significant chemical shift differences before and after the addition of iodine.


### Discussion

The brown-black color and characteristic Raman bands demonstrate clearly the presence of polyiodide anions,  $\text{I}_n^-$ , in the  $\text{MEEP}_x\text{I}$ ,  $[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_x\text{I}$ , and  $[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_x\text{I}$  complexes. No Raman bands corresponding to molecular  $\text{I}_2$  appeared for  $\text{MEEP}_x\text{I}$  and  $[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_x\text{I}$ , and these samples do not readily evolve iodine. This suggests that iodine is not incorporated in the molecular form  $\text{I}_2$ .  $[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_x\text{I}$  complexes did sometimes show  $\text{I}_2$  (vapor) bands in the Raman, along with a loss of coloration, which suggest only weak complex formation. The purple color in the  $[\text{N}(\text{PCl}_2)_2]_x\text{I}$  complexes suggested that molecular  $\text{I}_2$  is present in the polymer. Although the instability of the complex precluded direct detection of  $\text{I}_2$  by Raman spectroscopy, further indirect evidence for simple  $\text{I}_2$  incorporation includes (1) the facile evolution of  $\text{I}_2$ , (2) the relatively low degree of iodine incorporation, and (3) the unchanged morphology and thermal properties of the polymer following incorporation of the iodine.

Since polyiodide formation involves the reduction of  $\text{I}_2$ , a compensating oxidative

half-reaction must also occur. No oxidation products in the complexes could be detected by NMR methods, and this suggests that in each case the polyphosphazenes remain intact. Apparently, the polymer backbone and side chains are not chemically altered by iodine uptake. DSC traces of the complexes, which show increasing  $T_g$  with increasing iodine loading and no new thermal features, also indicate that the polymers do not undergo structural degradation. Oxidation involving the formation of a delocalized positive charge along the polymer backbone (such as is found in conjugated backbone polymers)<sup>6</sup> seems unlikely in the case of polyphosphazenes, which do not possess the extensive electron delocalization characteristic of conjugated carbon chains.<sup>22</sup> The electrochemical stability of MEEP<sup>23</sup> indicates that the polymer should not be oxidized by  $I_2$ . This leads us to conclude that the compensating half-reaction is the oxidation of  $I_2$ .

The stabilization of iodonium via a complexation equilibrium:



has been suggested<sup>3</sup> previously as a redox disproportionation process that may lead to the formation of polyiodides in MEEP<sub>x</sub>I complexes. Direct evidence for the existence of an iodonium complex is presently lacking. Poly(propylene) oxide (PPO) will stabilize polyiodide, yet small-molecule ethers such as diethyl ether and diglyme do not bring about redox disproportionation of iodine.<sup>4</sup> Thus, it is unclear whether the oligo-ether side groups in MEEP can act as stabilizing ligands for the iodonium cation. The compounds [NP(OTFE)<sub>2</sub>] and [NP(OPr)<sub>2</sub>] contain only a single oxygen atom in each side-chain which is sterically restricted and reduced in basicity by bonding to phosphorus.

The conductivities of MEEP<sub>x</sub>I and [NP(OPr)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>x</sub>I were examined well above the  $T_g$

and show a temperature dependence that is close to Arrhenius-type  $\exp(1/T)$  response, (Fig. 2). The bulk conductivity data do not show a VTF-type correlation<sup>24</sup> with  $T_g$ , as both  $T_g$  and conductivities increase with increasing iodine content. For these reasons, effects other than local polymer dynamics (for example, carrier number or type) may dominate the conduction process. It is also interesting to note that despite an increase in  $T_g$ , the  $\text{MEEP}_x\text{I}$  and  $[\text{NP(OPr)}_2]_x\text{I}$  complexes become markedly less viscous with increased iodine loading; similar observations were made for  $\text{MEEP}_x\text{MI}_n$  complexes.<sup>3</sup>

Bulk conductivities as a function of iodine content are given in Fig. 3.  $\text{MEEP}_x\text{I}$  and  $[\text{NP(OPr)}_2]_x\text{I}$  show similar behavior, which involves a marked increase in conductivity even at low iodine loadings ( $x = 16$ ) and a more gradual increase with higher iodine loading. The  $[\text{NP(Cl)}_2]_x\text{I}$  complexes show no enhancement in conductivity compared with the pristine polymer (approx.  $10^{-8} \text{ Scm}^{-1}$ ).  $[\text{NP(OTFE)}_2]_x\text{I}$  complexes show only a very slight increase in conductivity over that of the parent polymer.  $[\text{NP(Cl)}_2]_x\text{I}$  complexes do not contain polyiodides, and  $[\text{NP(OTFE)}_2]_x\text{I}$  complexes are crystalline at ambient temperature. Therefore, neither exhibits high conductivity. Further illustrations of this behavior can be found in both  $\text{PPO}_x\text{MI}_n$  and  $\text{MEEP}_x\text{MI}_n$  complexes, in which the amorphous complexes which contain  $\text{I}_n^-$  are highly conducting.

The mode of conduction within polymer-polyiodide complexes has been addressed previously.<sup>3,4</sup> Impedance measurements for all the polymer-polyiodide complexes studied in these laboratories consistently show that both interfacial and bulk conduction processes are present. In summary, the present research on the interaction of  $\text{I}_2$  with a diverse set of polymers indicates that the conduction process involves the polyiodides rather than the polymer backbone, and that polyether sidechains are conducive to polyiodide formation.

**Acknowledgements.** The research at Northwestern University was supported by DOE by grant DE-FG02-85ER45220 and the facilities were provided by the Northwestern Materials Research Center sponsored by the National Science Foundation Grant No. DMR 881571. The research at The Pennsylvania State University was supported by the Office of Naval Research. M.L. acknowledges support by the National Science Foundation under grant CHE-8807987 awarded in 1988.

## References

1. Armand, M.B. in "Polymer Electrolyte Reviews, Vol. 1"; MacCallum, J.R.; Vincent, C.A., Eds; Elsevier: New York, 1987.
2. Gauthier, M.; Armand, M.; Muller, D. in "Electro-responsive Molecular and Polymeric Systems, Vol. 1"; Skotheim, T.J. Ed.; Dekker: New York, 1988.
3. Lerner, M.M.; Lyons, L.J.; Tonge, J.S.; Shriver, D.F. *Chem. Mat.*, **1989**, *1*, 601.
4. Zur Loye, H.C.; Heyen, B.J.; Marcy, H.O.; DeGroot, D.C.; Kannewurf, C.R.; Shriver, D.F. *Chem. Mater.*, **1990**, *2*, 603 and references contained therein.
5. Sukawa, H.; Yoda, Y.; Sugimoto, H.; Yoshida, S.; Yamamoto, T.; Kuroda, S.; Sanechika, K.; Hishinuma, M. *Polym. J.*, **1989**, *21*, 403 and references contained therein.
6. Pekker, S.; Janossy, A. in "Handbook of Conducting Polymers, Vol. 1"; Skotheim, T.A. ed.; Dekker: New York, 1986.
7. Mainthia, S.B.; Kronik, P.L.; Labes, M.M. *J. Chem. Phys.*, **1964**, *41*, 2206.
8. Stegemann, H.; Schnittke, A.; Füllbier, H. *Electrochim. Acta.*, **1990**, *35*(2), 355.
9. Thakur, M. *Macromolecules*, **1988**, *21*, 661.
10. Shang, Q.; Pramanick, S.; Hudson, B. *Macromolecules*, **1990**, *23*, 1886.
11. Suh, D.H.; Wnek, G.E. *Polymer Preprints*, **1990**, *31*(1), 410.
12. Blonsky, P.M.; Shriver, D.F.; Austin, P.E.; Allcock, H.R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 6854.
13. Allcock, H.R.; Austin, P.E.; Neenan, T.X.; Sisko, J.T.; Blonsky, P.M.; Shriver, D.F. *Macromolecules* **1986**, *19*, 1508.
14. Blonsky, P.M.; Shriver, D.F.; Austin, P.E.; Allcock, H.R. *Polym. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **1985**, *53*, 118.

15. Blonsky, P.M.; Shriver, D.F.; Austin, P.E.; Allcock, H.R. *Solid State Ionics* 1986, 18/19, 258.
16. Bennett, J.L.; Dembek, A.A.; Allcock, H.R.; Heyen, B.J.; Shriver, D.F. *Chemistry of Materials* 1989, 1, 14.
17. Allcock, H.R.; Kugel, R.L.; Valan, K.J. *Inorg. Chem.* 1966, 5, 1709.
18. Allcock, H.R. *Solid State Ionics* 1989, 32/33, 761.
19. Allcock, H.R. *ACS Symp. Ser.* 360, 1988, 250.
20. Hardy, L.C.; Shriver, D.F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, 107, 3823.
21. Holzer, W.; Murphy, W.F.; Bernstein, H.J. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1970, 52, 399.
22. Allcock, H.R.; *Chem. & Eng. News*, 1985, 63, 22.
23. Reed, R.A.; Wooster, T.T.; Murray, R.W.; Yaniv, D.R.; Tonge, J.S.; Shriver, D.F. *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1989, 136(9), 2565.
24. Ratner, M. A.; Shriver, D.F. *Chem. Rev.*, 1988, 88, 109.

Table 1. Reaction products obtained by the interaction of polyphosphazenes with iodine.

<u>compound</u>	<u>color</u>	<u>morphology</u>
$[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_n$	white	soft solid
$[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_{16}\text{I}$	brown	fibrous
$[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_4\text{I}$	brown	fibrous
$[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_2\text{I}$	brown	fibrous
$[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_n$	light brown	elastomeric
$[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_{16}\text{I}$	brown-black	tacky liquid
$[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_4\text{I}$	brown-black	tacky liquid
$[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_2\text{I}$	brown-black	tacky liquid
$[\text{NPCL}_2]_n^{\text{a}}$	white-colorless	elastomeric
$[\text{NPCL}_2]_{16}\text{I}$	purple	elastomeric
$[\text{NPCL}_2]_5\text{I}^{\text{b}}$	purple	elastomeric
MEEP	light brown	tacky viscous liquid
$\text{MEEP}_{1.3}\text{I}$	brown-black	tacky liquid
$\text{MEEP}_{0.7}\text{I}$	brown-black	tacky liquid
$\text{MEEP}_{0.4}\text{I}$	brown-black	tacky liquid

<sup>a</sup> Sometimes has a yellow or brown tinge.

<sup>b</sup> Solid  $\text{I}_2$  remained even after several days of reaction when a loading of iodine at  $\text{I}:\text{PN}::1:5$  or higher was attempted. The actual iodine loading is therefore less than indicated by the formula.

Table 2. Resonance Raman data for polyphosphazene-iodine complexes.

<u>compound</u>	<u>peak Position (cm<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>relative intensity</u>
MEEP <sub>1.3</sub> I	113	34
	174	100
MEEP <sub>0.7</sub> I	109	34
	172	100
MEEP <sub>0.4</sub> I	113	41
	178	100
[NP(OPr) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>16</sub> I	110	66
	164	100
[NP(OPr) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>4</sub> I <sup>b</sup>	110	41
	168	100
[NP(OPr) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> I	100	62
	167	100
[NP(OTFE) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> I <sup>b</sup>	112	73
	169	100

---

<sup>a</sup> There is a shoulder or small peak at ca. 145 cm<sup>-1</sup> in every spectrum.

<sup>b</sup> There is a small peak at 217 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to I<sub>2</sub> vapor.


Table 3. Glass transition temperatures and melting points for polyphosphazene-iodine complexes.


<u>compound</u>	<u>T<sub>g</sub> / K</u>	<u>compound</u>	<u>T<sub>m</sub> / K</u>
MEEP	193	NP(OTFE) <sub>2</sub>	311
MEEP <sub>1.3</sub> I	211	[NP(OTFE) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>16</sub> I	305
MEEP <sub>0.7</sub> I	215	[NP(OTFE) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>4</sub> I	317
MEEP <sub>0.4</sub> I	226	[NP(OTFE) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> I	319
[NP(OPr) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub>	170		
[NP(OPr) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>16</sub> I	169		
[NP(OPr) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>4</sub> I	181		
[NP(OPr) <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> I	189		
[PNCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>n</sub>	204		
[PNCl <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>2</sub> I	206		


---

Figure Captions:

- Figure 1. Raman spectra for (a)  $\text{MEEP}_{1.3}\text{I}$ , (b)  $[\text{NP}(\text{OTFE})_2]_2\text{I}$  and (c)  $[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_{16}\text{I}$ . Peak positions are indicated on spectra.
- Figure 2.  $\log \sigma$  vs.  $1000/T$  for (a)  $\text{MEEP}_{0.4}\text{I}$ , (b)  $\text{MEEP}_{0.7}\text{I}$ , (c)  $\text{MEEP}_{1.3}\text{I}$ , (d)  $[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_2\text{I}$ , (e)  $[\text{NP}(\text{OPr})_2]_4\text{I}$ , and (f)  $[\text{NP}(\text{OF})_2]_{16}\text{I}$ .
- Figure 3. Conductivity in polyphosphazene-iodine complexes as a function of iodine concentration.  $\Delta$   $\text{R}=\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_3$ ,  $\blacksquare$   $\text{R}=\text{OCH}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_3$ ,  $*$   $\text{R}=\text{OCH}_2\text{CF}_3$ ,  $\circ$   $\text{R}=\text{Cl}$ .







TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST - GENERAL

Office of Naval Research (2)\*  
Chemistry Division, Code 1113  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000

Dr. Richard W. Drisko (1)  
Naval Civil Engineering  
Laboratory  
Code L52  
Port Hueneme, CA 93043

Dr. James S. Murday (1)  
Chemistry Division, Code 6100  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. Harold H. Singerman (1)  
David Taylor Research Center  
Code 283  
Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Dr. Robert Green, Director (1)  
Chemistry Division, Code 385  
Naval Weapons Center  
China Lake, CA 93555-6001

Chief of Naval Research (1)  
Special Assistant for Marine  
Corps Matters  
Code 00MC  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Eugene C. Fischer (1)  
Code 2840  
David Taylor Research Center  
Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Defense Technical Information  
Center (2)  
Building 5, Cameron Station  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Dr. Elek Lindner (1)  
Naval Ocean Systems Center  
Code 52  
San Diego, CA 92152-5000

Commanding Officer (1)  
Naval Weapons Support Center  
Dr. Bernard E. Douda  
Crane, Indiana 47522-5050

\* Number of copies to forward

## ABSTRACT DISTRIBUTION LIST

Dr. Chris W. Allen  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Vermont  
Burlington, VT 05405

Dr. Harry R. Allcock  
Department of Chemistry  
Pennsylvania State Univ.  
University Park, PA 16802

Dr. Kurt Baum  
Fluorochem, Inc.  
680 S. Ayon Avenue  
Azusa, CA 91702

Dr. Andrew R. Barron  
Department of Chemistry  
Harvard University  
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. Jean M. Frechet  
Department of Chemistry  
Cornell University  
Ithaca, NY 14853

Dr. Alexander S. Blumstein  
Department of Chemistry  
University of Lowell  
Lowell, MA 01854

Dr. I. I. Harruna  
Department of Chemistry  
Morris Brown College  
Atlanta, GA 30314

Dr. Robert H. Grubbs  
Department of Chemistry  
California Inst. of Technol.  
Pasadena, CA 91124  
9132019

Dr. Krzysztof Matyjaszewski  
Department of Chemistry  
Carnegie-Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Dr. Robert W. Lenz  
Polymer Sci. and Eng. Dept.  
University of Massachusetts  
Amherst, MA 01002

Dr. James E. McGrath  
Department of Chemistry  
Virginia Polytechnic Inst.  
Blacksburg, VA 24061

Dr. William B. Moniz  
Code 6120  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, DC 20375-5000

Dr. James A. Moore  
Department of Chemistry  
Pennsylvania State Univ.  
University Park, PA 16802-3590

Dr. Bruce M. Novak  
Department of Chemistry  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

Dr. Virgil Percec  
Department of Macromolecular Sci.  
Case Western Reserve Univ.  
Cleveland, OH 44106-2699

Dr. Richard R. Schrock  
Department of Chemistry  
Massachusetts Inst. of Techn.  
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. Dietmar Seyferth  
Department of Chemistry  
Massachusetts Inst. of Techn.  
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. Robert L. Soulen  
Department of Chemistry  
Southwestern University  
Georgetown, TX 78627

Dr. James M. Tour  
Department of Chemistry  
University of South Carolina  
Columbia, SC 29208

Dr. David M. Walba  
Department of Chem. & Biochem.  
University of Colorado  
Boulder, CO 80309

Dr. Michael E. Wright  
Department of Chemistry  
Utah State University  
Logan, UT 84322